

Probability 3

Odds and Risk

Grinnell College

Fall 2025

Outline for Today

- ▶ Introduce odds (another likelihood comparison)
- ▶ Odds ratios
- ▶ Relative Risk

Odds and Probability

When dealing with a *binary* event, we often speak in terms of **odds**, a ratio of “number of successes” to “number of failures”

success : # failure

This is distinct from the idea of **probabilities**, which give a ratio of the “number of successes” to the number of possible outcomes

$$\frac{\# \text{ success}}{\# \text{ total outcomes}} = \frac{\# \text{ success}}{\# \text{ success} + \# \text{ failure}}$$

Odds

Suppose we have a 6-sided die, and we are interested in rolls that land on either 1 or 2 (note how we have turned six distinct outcomes into two “events”).

Die = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}

- ▶ The *probability* of rolling a 1 or 2 is $1/3$
 1. There are 6 possible outcomes
 2. There are 2 possible successes
 3. Probability is $2/6 = 1/3$
- ▶ The *odds* of rolling a 1 or 2 are 2:4 (or 1:2)
 1. There are 2 possible successes
 2. There are 4 possible failures
 3. The odds of success are 2:4 (or 1:2)

Interpreting Odds

Interpretation

If the odds of success for an event is $X:Y$, if we repeat the random process many times then for every X number of "successes" we will have about Y number of "failures"

Hint: It is usually easiest to reduce odds down to something that like $1:X$ or $X:1$.

Example: 6-sided die

- ▶ odds of rolling either a 1 or a 2 were $2:4$
- ▶ for every 2 successes (rolling 1 or 2) we expect 4 failures (rolling 3+)

Example: 6-sided die (simplified)

- ▶ odds of rolling either a 1 or a 2 are $1:2$ (factored out the 2)
- ▶ for every success (rolling 1 or 2) we expect 2 failures (rolling 3+)

Empirical Example

1988 Harvard Medical School clinical trial with middle-aged male physicians

| | | Heart Attack | | |
|-----------|--------|--------------|--------|--|
| Treatment | Attack | No Attack | Total | |
| Placebo | 189 | 10,845 | 11,034 | |
| Aspirin | 104 | 10,933 | 11,037 | |
| Total | 293 | 21,778 | 22,071 | |

Probability of a heart attack (in general): $P(HA) = \frac{293}{22071} = .0132$.

- ▶ 1.32% of randomly chosen physicians had a heart attack

Odds of a heart attack: 293:21778 ($\approx 1 : 74$)

Interpretation:

- ▶ For every 293 heart attacks there are 21778 instances of not having a heart attack (not that helpful)
- ▶ For every heart attack there are 74 instances of not having a heart attack (more useful)

Empirical Example

| | | Heart Attack | | |
|-----------|--------|--------------|--------|--|
| Treatment | Attack | No Attack | Total | |
| Placebo | 189 | 10,845 | 11,034 | |
| Aspirin | 104 | 10,933 | 11,037 | |
| Total | 293 | 21,778 | 22,071 | |

We saw that we could compare heart attack rates between the placebo and aspirin group

- ▶ $P(\text{HA given Aspirin}) = \frac{104}{11037} = .009$
- ▶ $P(\text{HA given Placebo}) = \frac{189}{11034} = .017$
- ▶ heart attack probability is nearly half as likely for aspirin group (good!) → not independent

Empirical Example

| | | Heart Attack | | |
|-----------|--------|--------------|--------|--|
| Treatment | Attack | No Attack | Total | |
| Placebo | 189 | 10,845 | 11,034 | |
| Aspirin | 104 | 10,933 | 11,037 | |
| Total | 293 | 21,778 | 22,071 | |

We can do the same thing with odds

- ▶ heart attack odds for aspirin group: 104:10933 ($\approx 1:105$)
- ▶ heart attack odds for placebo group: 189:10845 ($\approx 1:57$)
- ▶ odds of heart attack for aspirin group is less than placebo group (good!) \rightarrow not independent

It is harder to directly compare the odds here as a *bigger* value on the right hand side means the event is *less* likely...

Odds Examples

When working with odds, we need to keep track of the order of successes and failures and also the order of the groups in our table

| | Event | Non-event |
|---------|-------|-----------|
| Group 1 | A | B |
| Group 2 | C | D |

- ▶ The odds of an event for group 1 are A:B (or A/B)
- ▶ The odds of an event for group 2 are C:D (or C/D)

The **odds ratio** for these groups is then the ratio of their odds:

$$OR = \frac{A : B}{C : D} = \frac{A/B}{C/D} = \frac{A \times D}{B \times C}$$

Why Ratios?

Situation 1:

| | Success | Failure |
|---------|---------|---------|
| Group 1 | 6 | 2 |
| Group 2 | 3 | 2 |

- ▶ Group 1 odds: $(6:2 \rightarrow 3:1)$, Group 2 odds: $(3:2 \rightarrow 1.5:1)$
- ▶ $OR = \frac{6:2}{3:2} = \frac{6/2}{3/2} = 2$
- ▶ Odds of success are twice as likely for group 1

Situation 2:

| | Success | Failure |
|---------|---------|---------|
| Group 1 | 103 | 2 |
| Group 2 | 100 | 2 |

- ▶ Group 1 odds: $(103:2 \rightarrow 51.5:1)$, Group 2 odds: $(100:2 \rightarrow 50:1)$
- ▶ $OR = \frac{103/2}{100/2} = 1.03$
- ▶ Odds of success are basically the same for both groups

Event vs Non-Event

Which column is our “Event” or “Success” changes how we report results

Case 1:

| | Survive | Death |
|-----------|---------|-------|
| Treatment | 12 | 6 |
| Placebo | 5 | 10 |

- ▶ odds of survival for treatment group are 12:6 → 2:1
- ▶ surviving is twice as likely as dying for the treatment group

Case 2:

| | Death | Survive |
|-----------|-------|---------|
| Treatment | 6 | 12 |
| Placebo | 10 | 5 |

- ▶ odds of death for treatment group are 6:12 → 1:2
- ▶ dying is half as likely as surviving for the treatment group

Group Order

Order of groups matters when using the odds-ratio formula

Case 1:

| | Survive | Death |
|-----------|---------|-------|
| Treatment | 12 | 6 |
| Placebo | 5 | 10 |

- ▶ $OR = \frac{12/6}{5/10} = \frac{12*10}{5*6} = \frac{120}{30} = 4$
- ▶ odds of survival for treatment group are twice as high than for placebo

Case 2:

| | Survive | Death |
|-----------|---------|-------|
| Placebo | 5 | 10 |
| Treatment | 12 | 6 |

- ▶ $OR = \frac{5/10}{12/6} = \frac{5*6}{12*10} = \frac{30}{120} = \frac{1}{4} = 0.25$
- ▶ odds of survival for placebo group are 25% of treatment group

Odds and Odds Ratio Summary

Odds are another way of comparing likelihood but do not give same values as probabilities in most cases and have slightly different interpretations

- ▶ Column and row order matters for calculations and interpretations
- ▶ $OR > 1$, $OR = 1$, $OR < 1$
 - ▶ $OR = 1$ implies no association. Why?

Relative Risk

When talking about the probability of a negative outcome, some choose to call it **risk** instead

- ▶ usually refer to a conditional probability, but not always
- ▶ *risk* of heart attack for the aspirin group = $P(\text{HA} \text{ given aspirin})$
- ▶ *risk* of a heart attack in general = $P(\text{HA})$

Just like looking at odds ratios, we can look at probability ratios. These are often called **relative risk** (because they are making comparisons)

- ▶ *relative risk* of heart attack for the aspirin group compared to placebo
- ▶ have similar interpretations to Odds-ratios
- ▶ $\text{RR} \approx 1 \rightarrow \text{same probabilities}$
- ▶ $\text{RR} > 1 \rightarrow \text{risk higher for group 1 than for group 2}$
- ▶ $\text{RR} < 1 \rightarrow \text{risk lower for group 1 than for group 2}$

Relative Risk

Just like with OR, order of groups matters for RR (column order less important because we only end up using one column + total column)

| | | Heart Attack | | |
|-----------|---------|--------------|-----------|--------|
| Treatment | | Attack | No Attack | Total |
| | Placebo | 189 | 10,845 | 11,034 |
| Aspirin | 104 | 10,933 | 11,037 | |
| Total | 293 | 21,778 | 22,071 | |

relative risk of heart attack for placebo:

$$\frac{P(\text{HA if Placebo})}{P(\text{HA if Aspirin})} = \frac{189/11034}{104/11037} = \frac{189*11037}{104*11034} = 1.82$$

- ▶ Prob. of heart attack for placebo group is 1.82 times that of aspirin

relative risk of heart attack for aspirin:

$$\frac{P(\text{HA if Aspirin})}{P(\text{HA if Placebo})} = \frac{104/11037}{189/11034} = 0.55$$

- ▶ Prob. of heart attack for aspirin group is 0.55 times that of placebo